For the Northern District of California

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	
2	
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	OAKLAND DIVISION
6	
7	THOMAS MICHAEL COLT,
8	Petitioner, No. C 11-1687 PJH (PR)
9	v. ORDER OF TRANSFER
10	GARY SWARTHOUT, Warden,
11	Respondent.
12	
13	This is a habeas case filed pro se by a state prisoner. He seeks review

of a denial of parole by the Board of Parole Hearings. He was convicted in the Superior Court of San Mateo County, which is in this district, and is housed at California State Prison-Solano, which is in the Eastern District.

Venue is proper in a habeas action in either the district of confinement or the district of conviction, 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); however, the district of confinement is the preferable forum to review the execution of a sentence. Habeas L.R. 2254-3(a); *Dunne v. Henman*, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir. 1989). Because petitioner's challenge goes to the execution of his sentence, this petition is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a); Habeas L.R. 2254-3(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 28, 2011.

LLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge

26

27 28

P:\PRO-SE\PJH\HC.11\COLD1687.TRN.wpd